Project-Based Learning: An Answer to the Common Core Challenge

The Common Core State Standards pose many challenges for educators. The change to fewer, higher, and clearer standards calls for deeper study of fewer concepts. The other key change introduced by the standards is the focus on preparing all students for college and careers by high school graduation. Because the Common Core standards call for more student focus, responsibility, and independent learning, educators must rethink their curriculum and methods of instruction.

Project-based learning (PBL) is a promising pedagogy that supports the implementation of the Common Core standards. PBL encourages student-centered learning. Planning for and executing projects that students choose and construct requires a level of autonomy that many students, as well as teachers, may be unprepared for. Although once students master the art of PBL, teachers will see how students become highly motivated from doing important work around issues that are relevant to them.

In this course, you will learn how to prepare your students for PBL and guide them through the process. You will come to understand the roles that teachers and students play in planning for and conducting project-based learning experiences. The importance of assessment, both formative and summative, to student success in PBL is emphasized. And the key motivational elements of PBL, including student voice and choice, creation of products, and presenting findings to an authentic audience are described in detail.

Course Objectives

By the end of this course, you will be able to:

Module 1

• Identify characteristics of PBL and how using it in the classroom prepares students to be college- and career-ready.

• Summarize and reflect on why PBL is an effective way to increase student motivation, deep content understanding, and achievement.

• Interpret how PBL addresses the standards and the shifts in instruction called for in the Common Core standards.

Module 2

- Understand the foundations of and research about PBL.
- Examine a PBL plan; evaluate the driving questions; and analyze the authenticity, skills, and Common Core elements that the plan addresses.

Module 3

- Determine skills and strategies that students need to successfully participate in PBL experiences.
- Prepare students for the level of collaboration and thorough research they will face in PBL.

Module 4

- Design a PBL plan with students by clearly identifying learning goals and generating authentic questions.
- Create a PBL plan using elements that make the plan effective, authentic, motivating, and purposeful.

Module 5

- Investigate Smarter Balanced and PARCC assessments for the Common Core State Standards as well as formative and summative assessments and how they can be embedded in PBL.
- Create or modify an assessment that aligns with a PBL plan; formative assessment, summative assessment, or a rubric.

Module 6

- Identify the differences between teaching and coaching and when each is needed in PBL.
- Understand, implement, and reflect on elements of PBL (research, cooperative learning,

differentiation, and coaching).

Course Syllabus

Module 1	Why Use PBL for the Common Core Standards?
	Module Welcome
	Video 1: Welcome to New Tech
	 Reading 1: How Project-Based Learning Addresses the Common Core Standards
	Reading 2: <i>EL</i> —Making the Shifts
	Video 2: How Does PBL Support the Common Core Standards?
	Knowledge Check
	 Application: Show the Relationships Among PBL, Shifts, and the CCSS Post-Module Reflection
Module 2	PBL Essentials and Challenges
	Module Welcome
	Video 1: Teaching in a PBL School
	 Reading 1: EL—8 Essentials for Project-Based Learning
	Reading 2: Facing the Challenges of Project-Based Learning
	Video 2: Integrating New Skills
	Reading 3: The Importance of Inquisitiveness
	Knowledge Check
	Application: Analyze Your Plans
	Post-Module Reflection
Module 3	Setting the Stage for PBL
	Module Welcome
	Reading 1: Cooperative Learning Groups and PBL
	Video: How to Teach Math as a Social Activity
	Reading 2: Research to Build and Present Knowledge
	Knowledge Check
	Application: Preteaching Project-Based Learning Skills
	Post-Module Reflection

Module 4	Collaborative Planning
	Module Welcome
	Reading 1: Backward Design
	Reading 2: How to: Inquiry
	Video: Student-Directed Learning
	Reading 3: Diving In
	Knowledge Check
	Application: Collaborative Planning
	Post-Module Reflection
Module 5	Assessing Throughout the PBL Process
	Module Welcome
	Reading: Assessing Throughout the PBL Process
	Video: Performance-Based Assessments
	Media: PARCC and Smarter Balanced Assessment Items
	Knowledge Check
	Application: Draft a Rubric for Project-Based Learning
	Post-Module Reflection
Module 6	Facilitation and Celebration
	Module Welcome
	Reading 1: Teacher as Coach in Project-Based Learning
	Video: Facilitating PBL
	Reading 2: <i>EL</i> —Speaking of Speaking
	Knowledge Check
	Application: Celebrate with an Authentic Audience
	Post-Module Reflection

References

- ACT. (2006). Reading between the lines: What the ACT reveals about college readiness and reading. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- ACT. (2008). The forgotten middle: Improving readiness for high school. Iowa City, IA: Author.
- Alberti, S. (2012). Making the shifts. Educational Leadership, 70(4), 24–27.
- ASCD. (2013). The innovators: Project-based learning and the 21st century [DVD]. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Barell, J. (2003). Developing more curious minds. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Buck Institute for Education. (2013). What is PBL? Retrieved from <u>http://www.bie.org/objects/cat/</u> rubrics

- Buck Institute for Education. (2013). Project-based learning for the 21st century. Retrieved from http://www.bie.org/tools/freebies/cat/rubrics
- Common Core State Standards Initiative. (2010). Implementing the Common Core State Standards. Retrieved from <u>http://www.corestandards.org</u>
- Conley, D. T., Drummond, K. V., de Gonzalez, A., Rooseboom, J., & Stout, O. (2011). *Reaching the goal: The applicability and the importance of the Common Core State Standards to college and career readiness.* Eugene, OR: Educational Policy Improvement Center.
- Dean, C. B., Hubbell, E. R., Pitler, H., & Stone, B. (2012). *Classroom instruction that works: Research-based strategies for increasing student achievement, 2nd edition.* Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Duke, N. (2004). The case for informational text. Educational Leadership, 61(6), 40-44.
- Dweck, C. (2006). What is mindset. Retrieved from <u>http://www.mindsetonline.com/whatisit/about/</u> <u>index.html</u>
- Edutopia. (2010). *How to teach math as a social activity* [Video]. Retrieved from <u>http://www.</u> <u>youtube.com/watch?v=kZxNldBEU60</u>
- Engell, J. (1981). The creative imagination: Enlightenment to romanticism. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Expeditionary Learning Schools. (2011). Evidence of success. New York, NY: Author.

- Ferlazzo, L. (2012, January 10). Response: Ways to include students in the formative assessment process [blog post]. Retrieved from *Education Week* at <u>http://blogs.edweek.org/teachers</u> /classroom_ga_with_larry_ferlazzo/2012/01/matt_townsley_asked_carol_boston.html
- Finkelstein, N., Hanson, T., Huang, C.-W., Hirschman, B., & Huang, M. (2010). Effects of problem based economics on high school economics instruction. (NCEE 2010-4002). Washington, DC: Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education.
- Geier, R., Blumenfeld, P. C., Marx, R. W., Krajcik, J. S., Fishman, B., Soloway, E., & Clay-Chambers, J. (2008). Standardized test outcomes for students engaged in inquiry-based science curricula in the context of urban reform. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45, 922–939.
- Gewertz, C. (2012, May 9). Math teaching often doesn't fit with new standards. Retrieved from *Education Week* at <u>http://www.edweek.org/ew/articles/2012/04/24/30common.h31.html</u>
- Heidegger, M. (1966). Discourse on thinking. New York, NY: Harper TorchBooks.
- Hixson, N. K., Ravitz, J., & Whisman, A. (2012). Extended professional development in projectbased learning: Impacts on 21st century teaching and student achievement. Charleston: West Virginia Department of Education, Division of Teaching and Learning, Office of Research.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2009). An educational psychology success story: Social interdependence theory and cooperative learning. *Educational Researcher*, *38*(5), 365–379.
- Larmer, J., & Mergendoller, J. R. (2010). 8 essentials for project-based learning. Adapted from Seven essentials of project-based learning, *Educational Leadership*, 68(1), 34–37.
- Larmer, J., & Mergendoller, J. R. (2012). Speaking of speaking. *Educational Leadership*, 70(4), 74–76.
- Markham, T. (2012). Project based learning: Design and coaching guide—expert tools for innovation and inquiry for k–12 educators. San Rafael, CA: Heart IQ Press.
- Mergendoller, J. R., Maxwell, N. L., & Bellisimo, Y. (2006). The effectiveness of problem-based instruction: A comparative study of instructional methods and student characteristics. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 1(2), 49–69.
- Miller, A. (2011, August 24). How to refine driving questions for effective project-based learning [blog post]. Retrieved from *Edutopia* at <u>http://www.edutopia.org/blog/pbl-how-to-refine-</u> <u>driving-questions-andrew-miller</u>

- National Research Council. (2000). Inquiry and the National Science Education Standards: A guide for teaching and learning. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Palmer, E. (2011). Well spoken: Teaching speaking to all students. Portland, ME: Stenhouse.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2011). Framework for 21st century learning. Retrieved from http://www.p21.org/overview/skills-framework
- PBL-Online. (n.d.). The montlake terrace school design project [Video]. Retrieved from http://www.pbl-online.org/PlanTheAssessment/explore/planexploremovies/FLV1 performancemovie.
- Ryan, S., & Frazee, D. (2012). Common Core standards for middle school English language arts: A quick-start guide. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Ryle, G. (1979). On thinking. Totowa, NJ: Rowan & Littlefield.
- Sagan, C. (1996). The demon-haunted world: Science as a candle in the dark. New York, NY: Ballantine Books.
- Schmidt, W. H., McKnight, C. C, & Raizen, S. A. (1997). A splintered vision: An investigation of U.S. science and mathematics education. Boston, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Stiggins, R. (2007). Assessment through the student's eyes. Educational Leadership, 64(8), 22–26.
- U.S. Department of Education. (2010). ESEA blueprint for reform. Washington, DC: Author.
- Vega, V. (2013). Project-based learning research review. Retrieved from <u>http://www.edutopia.org</u> /pbl-research-learning-outcomes
- Wade, N. (2001, February 12). Long-held beliefs are challenged by new human genome analysis. The New York Times, p. A20.
- Walker, A. (1996). The color purple. New York, NY: Pocket Books.
- Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2005). Understanding by design, 2nd edition. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (2011). The understanding by design guide to creating high-quality units. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.